

Measuring Justice Sector Performance Lessons from OECD Approaches

Klaus Decker

Counsel
Justice Reform Practice Group
Legal Vice-Presidency
World Bank

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Introduction

Scope?

- Justice system performance
- Court performance
- Individual performance

Introduction

Why measuring?

- Program budgeting (or 'performance budgeting')
- Relations with other institutions and public
- Quality management ('What gets measured gets done')

Introduction

Justice system values

- Independence
- Fairness
- Equality
- Impartiality
- Competence
- Timeliness
- Integrity
- Accessibility
- Transparency

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Measurement Areas

Common performance measurement areas

- Justice system efficiency
- Quality of services
- Accessibility and fairness
- Integrity
- Cost-effectiveness

Measurement Areas

Example: Finland

- The process
- The decision
- Treatment of the parties and the public
- Promptness of proceedings
- Competence and professional skills of the judge
- Organization and management of adjudication

Measurement Areas

Example: US Trial Court Performance Standards

- Access to Justice
- Expedition and timeliness
- Equality, fairness and integrity
- Independence and accountability
- Public trust and confidence

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Should be:

- Specific
- Measurable
- Achievable
- Relevant
- Time bound
- Add: reliable, cost effective

Example: US Trial Courts Performance Standards

- Too complex
- Never fully implemented
- Led to development of 'CourTools' with 10 indicators

Example: Finland

- 4-9 indicators for each measurement aspect
- Point scale (0-5 points per indicator)
- Various kinds of data to feed the point scales

Important lessons

- Balanced
- Avoid perverse incentives ('What gets measured gets done, but is everything that is important measured and everything that is measured important?'

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Data

Objective data

- Administrative data
- Empirical research

Subjective data

- Survey (real experience, perception)
- Expert opinion

Quantitative and qualitative data Supply and demand side

Data

Data should be disaggregated to identify particular challenges

- Gender
- Age
- Origin
- Minority
- Etc.

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Process

"The journey is as important as the destination."

- Must reflect justice system values
- Inclusive
- Consensus building, not suitable as battlefield
- Judiciary needs to be a key driver

- Introduction
- Measurement areas
- Indicators
- Data
- Process
- Conclusions

Conclusions

Justice sector performance evaluation will come, because of...

- Program budgeting
- EU accession negotiations
- Good management practice

Conclusions

It is better to anticipate it so you can frame the discussion.

- Measurement areas reflecting values
- Sound data mix needed for fact-based dialogue

Questions and Answers

THANK YOU